April 16, 2019

Study L-4100

Memorandum 2019-30

Nonprobate Transfers: Creditor Claims and Family Protections (Draft Recommendation)

The Commission¹ has been studying the liability of nonprobate transfers ("NPTs")² for creditor claims and family protections.

Earlier in this study, the Commission considered a case, *Kircher v. Kircher*,³ related to NPT liability. In *Kircher*, the court interpreted a statutory rule (found in Probate Code Sections 13550 and 13551) that imposes liability on a surviving spouse for a deceased spouse's debts under certain circumstances.⁴

The Commission approved a tentative recommendation to clarify the scope of this liability rule.⁵ The liability rule is contained in a part of the Probate Code that also authorizes a surviving spouse to receive certain property without probate administration.⁶ In particular, these provisions permit the surviving spouse to receive property passing by will or intestate succession without probate administration.⁷ The Commission decided that the liability rule should apply to property that the surviving spouse receives under those statutes. The liability rule, thus, should not apply to property the surviving spouse receives by NPT.

The tentative recommendation was circulated for public comment. The Commission has not received any public comment.

^{1.} Any California Law Revision Commission document referred to in this memorandum can be obtained from the Commission. Recent materials can be downloaded from the Commission's website (www.clrc.ca.gov). Other materials can be obtained by contacting the Commission's staff, through the website or otherwise.

The Commission welcomes written comments at any time during its study process. Any comments received will be a part of the public record and may be considered at a public meeting. However, comments that are received less than five business days prior to a Commission meeting may be presented without staff analysis.

^{2.} For the purposes of this memorandum, "NPT" refers to transfers of a decedent's property outside of probate by means of an instrument designed for that purpose (e.g., joint tenancy, trust, transfer on death deed, pay-on-death ("POD") bank account). The term is not meant to include the special statutory procedures for the disposition of a decedent's estate without administration. See Prob. Code §§ 13000-13660.

^{3. 189} Cal. App. 4th 1105 (2010), rev. denied, 2011 Cal. LEXIS 1437.

^{4.} See Memorandum 2017-23, pp. 1-4.

^{5.} Minutes (Oct. 2018), p. 10.

^{6.} See Prob. Code §§ 13500-13660.

^{7.} Id.

This memorandum presents a draft recommendation and seeks a Commission decision on whether to approve that draft as a final recommendation.

APPROVAL OF RECOMMENDATION

Does the Commission approve the attached draft (as is or with modifications) as a final recommendation for publication and submission to the Legislature?

Respectfully submitted,

Kristin Burford Staff Counsel STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION

STAFF DRAFT

RECOMMENDATION

Nonprobate Transfers: Liability of a Surviving Spouse Under Probate Code Sections 13550 and 13551

May 2019

California Law Revision Commission c/o King Hall Law School Davis, CA 95616 530-752-3620 <commission@clrc.ca.gov>

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION

Assets of a deceased person are distributed in a number of different ways, depending on the circumstances:

- (1) According to the terms of the decedent's will, if the decedent had a will;
- (2) According to the rules of intestate succession, if the decedent lacked a will or other estate planning instrument; or
- (3) By various forms of nonprobate transfer ("NPT"), if the decedent executed the necessary documentation for such a transfer (e.g., a beneficiary designation form or a living trust).

When a decedent's assets are distributed by will or intestate succession, they are usually subject to probate administration. In probate, the decedent's outstanding debts are paid from the decedent's assets before the heirs or devisees receive their shares.

To facilitate prompt transfer of a decedent's assets to a surviving spouse, Part 2 of Division 8 of the Probate Code creates an exception to the usual requirement of probate administration: It allows a surviving spouse to receive property by will, or by intestate succession, *without* probate administration.

To ensure repayment of a decedent's debts, however, Part 2 of Division 8 also includes a rule imposing liability on a surviving spouse for a decedent's debts. Under Probate Code Sections 13550 and 13551, the surviving spouse's liability extends to any property received from the decedent "without administration."

In 2010, a California appellate court considered the scope of this liability rule. In particular, the court considered whether the rule would impose liability on a surviving spouse for the value of property received from a decedent via joint tenancy (i.e., not by will or intestate succession). The court concluded that the rule *would* apply to that situation. See *Kircher v. Kircher*, 189 Cal. App. 4th 1105, 117 Cal. Rptr. 3d 254 (2010).

The Commission reviewed the statutory context and history for this statutory liability rule. The Commission concluded that although the liability rule was drafted broadly, the rule probably was intended to have a narrower scope, applying only to property that a surviving spouse receives under Part 2 of Division 8.

The Commission recommends that the liability rule be revised to make clear that it applies only to property that a surviving spouse receives without probate administration under Part 2 of Division 8 of the Probate Code.

This recommendation was prepared pursuant to Resolution Chapter 158 of the Statutes of 2018.

NONPROBATE TRANSFERS: LIABILITY OF A SURVIVING SPOUSE UNDER PROBATE CODE SECTIONS 13550 AND 13551

1 This recommendation addresses a significant ambiguity in Probate Code 2 Sections 13550 and 13551. These sections concern liability of a surviving spouse 3 to creditors of a deceased spouse.

To explain the proposed reform, it is first necessary to describe the existing statutory scheme and identify the ambiguity in question. The discussion then turns to a 2010 appellate decision on the point.

Next, the Law Revision Commission analyzes the situation. Based on the work it has done, the Commission recommends revising Section 13551 to make clear that its liability rule only applies to property that a surviving spouse receives pursuant to the part of the Probate Code containing that section (Part 2 of Division 8, which authorizes distribution to a surviving spouse without administration under certain circumstances).

13

EXISTING STATUTORY SCHEME

After death, a person's assets may be distributed in a number of different ways,depending on the circumstances:

- 16 (1) According to the terms of the decedent's will, if the decedent had a will;¹
- According to the rules of intestate succession, if the decedent lacked a will
 or other estate planning instrument;² or
- (3) By various forms of nonprobate transfer ("NPT"), if the decedent executed
 the necessary documentation for such a transfer (e.g., a beneficiary
 designation form or a living trust).³

When a decedent's assets are distributed by will or intestate succession, they are usually subject to probate administration.⁴ In probate, the decedent's outstanding debts are paid from the decedent's assets before the heirs or devisees receive their shares of those assets.⁵

To facilitate prompt transfer of a decedent's assets to a surviving spouse, Part 2 of Division 8 of the Probate Code groups on execution to the usual requirement of

of Division 8 of the Probate Code creates an exception to the usual requirement of

- 3. See generally Prob. Code § 5000.
- 4. See Prob. Code § 7001.
- 5. See generally Prob. Code §§ 11420, 21401.

^{1.} See Prob. Code § 7000.

^{2.} See *id*.

probate administration: It allows a surviving spouse to receive property by will, or
 by intestate succession, *without* probate administration.⁶

However, Part 2 of Division 8 also contains a rule imposing liability on the surviving spouse for the debts of the decedent. More specifically, Sections 13550 and 13551 provide:

13550. Except as provided in Sections 11446, 13552, 13553, and 13554, upon
the death of a married person, the surviving spouse is personally liable for the
debts of the deceased spouse chargeable against the property described in Section
13551 to the extent provided in Section 13551.

10 13551. The liability imposed by Section 13550 shall not exceed the fair market 11 value at the date of the decedent's death, less the amount of any liens and 12 encumbrances, of the total of the following:

(a) The portion of the one-half of the community and quasi-community
 property belonging to the surviving spouse under Sections 100 and 101 that is not
 exempt from enforcement of a money judgment and is not administered in the
 estate of the deceased spouse.

17 (b) The portion of the one-half of the community and quasi-community 18 property belonging to the decedent under Sections 100 and 101 that passes to the 19 surviving spouse without administration.

(c) The separate property of the decedent that passes to the surviving spousewithout administration.

These sections ensure that property passing to a surviving spouse under Part 2 of Division 8 is available to satisfy a decedent's debts, even though the property is not probated.

In 2010, a California appellate court considered whether the liability rule contained in Sections 13550 and 13551 also applies to property that a surviving spouse receives through nonprobate transfers. The court's decision is discussed below.

29

KIRCHER V. KIRCHER

In *Kircher v. Kircher*,⁷ the court considered the scope of the liability rule in Probate Code Sections 13550 and 13551. The court concluded that the liability rule applied to property that a surviving spouse received as the surviving joint tenant.⁸

This conclusion was based on the language of Section 13551.⁹ The *Kircher* court found that the surviving spouse's liability under Section 13551 encompasses all property "properly characterized as community property or the decedent's separate

^{6.} See Prob. Code § 13500

^{7. 189} Cal. App. 4th 1105, 117 Cal. Rptr. 3d 254 (2010).

^{8.} See *id.* at 1115-16.

^{9.} See *id*. at 1112-16.

property" that is received by the surviving spouse without probate administration.¹⁰ The court's analysis suggests that the surviving spouse's liability extends to *any* property received from the decedent outside of probate.¹¹

4 Thus, under the *Kircher* decision, the liability under Section 13551 extends 5 beyond property that the surviving spouse is statutorily authorized to receive

6 without probate administration under Part 2 of Division 8 of the Probate Code.

7

COMMISSION STUDY

8 The Commission studied the liability rule in Sections 13550 and 13551, 9 particularly the scope of the liability imposed by these provisions and the 10 implications of the *Kircher* decision. After explaining the scope of the study, the 11 Commission reports its findings regarding legislative intent, potentially conflicting 12 liability rules, and other policy considerations.

13 Scope of Study

In this study, the Commission examined whether the liability rule stated in Sections 13550 and 13551 should be understood to impose liability for property that passes to a surviving spouse by NPT (as indicated by the analysis in the *Kircher* decision), not just liability for property that passes to a surviving spouse under the exception to probate administration established in Part 2 of Division 8. This recommendation only addresses that issue.

The recommendation does not address the broader question of whether, as a policy matter, property transferred by NPT *should* be liable for a decedent's debts.¹²

23 Legislative Intent

The Commission considered whether the Legislature intended to have the liability rule of Sections 13550 and 13351 impose liability on a surviving spouse for property conveyed by NPT. In other words, the Commission sought to determine whether the Legislature intended the result that the court reached in *Kircher*.

The Commission found no evidence that the Legislature considered applying, or intended the liability rule to apply, to such property. To the contrary, the legislative history suggests that such an interpretation of the liability rule is in

^{10.} *Id.* at 1113.

^{11.} See *id*. at 1113-14.

^{12.} This broader question is discussed in a background report prepared for the Commission. See Nathaniel Sterling, *Liability of Nonprobate Transfer for Creditor Claims and Family Protections* (2010).

This background report and other Commission materials cited in this recommendation are available on the Commission's website (www.clrc.ca.gov).

1 tension with prior legislative understanding and decisions regarding the liability of

2 property conveyed by NPT.¹³

3 Potentially Conflicting Liability Rules

For certain NPTs (including a trust or a revocable transfer on death deed), the Probate Code includes specific liability rules applicable to *any* recipient of such a transfer, not just a surviving spouse.¹⁴ Consequently, if Sections 13550 and 13551 impose liability for NPTs, a surviving spouse recipient could be subject to two potentially applicable liability rules, which might impose different levels of liability.¹⁵ Such a conflict would be problematic.

10 **Other Policy Considerations**

From a policy standpoint, imposing liability on a surviving spouse for NPTs under Sections 13550 and 13551 could have another adverse consequence: It could sometimes cause a surviving spouse to bear an inequitable share of liability.

For certain NPTs, the law does not provide a rule of liability.¹⁶ A surviving spouse could therefore be liable under Sections 13550 and 13551 for receiving

such an NPT, while a non-spouse recipient would not be subject to liability. The

surviving spouse would thus have to bear a disproportionate burden of the creditor

18 liability.

^{13.} See generally 32 CEB Est. Plan Rep. 85 (Dec. 2010).

In particular, the history of the California Multiple-Party Accounts Act suggests that the Legislature understood joint tenancy accounts to pass free from liability and, at that time, did not want to disrupt that treatment. See Recommendation Relating to Non-Probate Transfers, 15 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1605, 1654 (1980) (Commission comment to proposed Prob. Code § 6107 provides that the section "changes former law with respect to a true joint tenancy account. It was the former rule that the surviving joint tenant took the funds free of the claims of the deceased joint tenant's creditors."); CLRC Staff Memorandum 1981-26, p. 1 ("The bill [addressing deposit accounts and other nonprobate transfers] was not approved by [the Assembly Committee on Judiciary] because the chairman and at least one other member strongly objected to the provision of the bill which would change the existing law that a surviving joint tenant takes funds on deposit in a joint deposit account free of claims of creditors of the deceased joint tenant."); CLRC Staff Memorandum 1982-36, p. 1 ("At the last meeting, the Commission was in general agreement that we should reintroduce the nonprobate transfer bill (AB 325) that was killed in the Assembly Judiciary Committee in 1981. ... The proposed legislation is in the same form as the last amended version of AB 325, except for the omission of provisions relating to rights of the decedent's creditors in a joint account, P.O.D. account, or Totten trust account (Sections 6107 and 6107.5 in AB 325). These provisions were not acceptable to the members of the Assembly Judiciary Committee.").

^{14.} See Prob. Code §§ 5674(b) (liability of a revocable transfer on deed beneficiary), 19402(b) (liability of a trust distributee).

^{15.} Compare Prob. Code § 13550, 13551 with *id*. § 19402 (personal liability of trust distributee limited to pro rata portion of creditor's claim).

^{16.} See, e.g., discussion of the Multiple-Party Accounts Act *supra* note 13.

1 This result is inconsistent with the family protection policies in the Probate

2 Code, which generally provide more favorable treatment for a surviving spouse

(and children) than other recipients of the decedent's property.¹⁷
 Moreover, for NPTs, a surviving spouse does not have an opportunity to claim

family protections (e.g., probate homestead) that would be available in probate administration.¹⁸ Thus, the liability rule announced in *Kircher* would impose creditor liability as in probate administration, without providing the probate family protections. This result would appear to be at odds with the legislative policy for allocating a decedent's property where creditors are owed payment, but the family is in need of financial protection.

RECOMMENDATION

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission recommends that Section 13551 be amended to impose liability for the decedent's property only when it would, absent the statutory authorization for the surviving spouse to receive the property without administration, be subject to probate administration.¹⁹

16 The Commission also recommends conforming changes to continue the existing

17 liability treatment for community property with a right of survivorship.²⁰

18. See Prob. Code §§ 6520-6528.

11

- 19. See proposed amendment to Prob. Code § 13551 & Comment *infra*.
- 20. See proposed amendment to Civ. Code § 682.1 & Comment infra.

^{17.} See generally Prob. Code §§ 6500-6615.

Currently, Civil Code Section 682.1 provides that "Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 13550) ... of Part 2 of Division 8 of the Probate Code" applies to community property with a right of survivorship ("CPWROS"). Sections 13550 and 13551 are contained within this chapter. Thus, it appears that CPWROS is currently subject to the liability rule in Sections 13550 and 13551. The proposed amendment to Civil Code Section 682.1 is intended to preserve the existing application of the liability rule to CPWROS.

PROPOSED LEGISLATION

1 Prob. Code § 13551 (amended). Maximum liability of surviving spouse

2 SEC. ___. Section 13551 of the Probate Code is amended to read:

13551. The liability imposed by Section 13550 shall not exceed the fair market
value at the date of the decedent's death, less the amount of any liens and
encumbrances, of the total of the following:

(a) The portion of the one-half of the community and quasi-community property
belonging to the surviving spouse under Sections 100 and 101 that is not exempt
from enforcement of a money judgment and is not administered in the estate of the
deceased spouse.

10 (b) The portion of the one-half of the community and quasi-community property

belonging to the decedent under Sections 100 and 101 that passes to the surviving spouse without administration under this part.

(c) The separate property of the decedent that passes to the surviving spouse
 without administration <u>under this part</u>.

15 **Comment.** Section 13551 is amended to specify that the liability imposed on the surviving 16 spouse under Section 13550 only extends to property that the surviving spouse receives without 17 probate administration according to the statutory authority in this part. See Section 13500.

18 This change does not affect the surviving spouse's liability under laws other than Sections 19 13550 and 13551.

CONFORMING REVISION

20 Civ. Code § 682.1 (amended). Community property with right of survivorship

21 SEC. __. Section 682.1 of the Civil Code is amended to read:

682.1. (a) (1) Community property of spouses, when expressly declared in the 22 transfer document to be community property with right of survivorship, and which 23 may be accepted in writing on the face of the document by a statement signed or 24 initialed by the grantees, shall, upon the death of one of the spouses, pass to the 25 survivor, without administration, pursuant to the terms of the instrument, subject 26 to the same procedures, as property held in joint tenancy. Prior to the death of 27 either spouse, the right of survivorship may be terminated pursuant to the same 28 procedures by which a joint tenancy may be severed. 29

(2) Part 1 (commencing with Section 5000) of Division 5 of the Probate Code
 and Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 13540), Chapter 3 (commencing with
 Section 13550), and Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 13560) of Part 2 of
 Division 8 of the Probate Code apply to this property.

34 (3) For the purposes of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 13550) of Part 2 of

35 Division 8 of the Probate Code, this property shall be treated as if it had passed

36 without administration under Part 2 (commencing with Section 13500) of Division

37 <u>8 of the Probate Code.</u>

(b) This section does not apply to a joint account in a financial institution to
which Part 2 (commencing with Section 5100) of Division 5 of the Probate Code
applies.

4 (c) This section shall become operative on July 1, 2001, and shall apply to 5 instruments created on or after that date.

6 **Comment.** Section 682.1 is amended to make clear that Probate Code Section 13551 applies to 7 community property with a right of survivorship, notwithstanding the addition of language that 8 limits the application of that section. The added language provides that Section 13551(b) and (c) 9 only apply to property that passes to a surviving spouse without administration under Part 2 10 (commencing with Section 13500) of Division 8 of the Probate Code.

11 This section is also amended to add paragraph numbers to subdivision (a).